

down at the page and you walk in and it says LB 536, and there are seven bills that are pending, none of which had priority status; four other amendments to it, some of them may have been discussed, some of them may even have had a public hearing, who knows. It might have been, you know, we might have broke new ground there. We might have had a public hearing on a couple of these amendments that we are offering here this morning. The issue is a question of, you know, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. This hog needs to be slaughtered right now. And I mean you've got a situation here where we are going to take time of the body, maybe they are worked out for some people, I happen to have some serious concerns with the first amendment in front of us dealing with the mandatory reporting by name of the individuals with HIV-AIDS virus. I have got some real concerns when you are only talking about a willful, wanton act being the trigger for purposes of a penalty going into place for somebody who releases that name. In other words, if they just carelessly happened to leave the list on the coffee table, that wasn't a willful, wanton act, and when that list gets in the hands of the press and they print a list of those people who have been tested positive to HIV, then it is not a problem. Nobody can be sued, nobody is hurt, nobody is in any trouble except for those individuals who happen to be on the list. We are now going to...making a major change in that area where we are saying that you are going to be listed by name instead of anonymously as we have in the past. That's one of the amendments. It is the first one, LB 819 that we are going to deal with on this bill. And then we are going to move down the list and we are going to go to probably something that is not controversial. We are going to go to LB 648 that talks about the Childhood Lead Poison Prevention Act, can't imagine that being controversial or anybody opposing it. It would probably have been a simple amendment to have adopted to this bill if it is that important to have done before the end of this session. You then go on to Senator Hartnett's LB 339, and that talks about the issue of health insurers or preferred provider organizations to restrict an insured to one pharmacy of his or her choice. I'm sure we...you know, the whole pharmacy world will stop, Senator Hartnett, if we don't pass that bill prior to adjourning sine die on June 8th. It clearly has got to be one of those burning issues that needs to be addressed here before the end of the session. You then move on to my wife savor bill of this session, LB 522, introduced by Senator Rasmussen. I know it has been worked out by all the nursing organizations. My wife hates the bill. I probably will have to oppose it whether I agree